ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR UROP RESEARCH PROPOSAL

NAME :

MATRIC NUMBER :

FACULTY :

No	ITEM	1 – Poor	2 – Needs Improvement	3 – Satisfactory	4 – Good	5 – Excellent	Score
1.	**Research Title**	The title is unclear or irrelevant to the research focus.	The title is vague or not closely related to the research.	The title is somewhat clear but lacks precision or relevance.	The title is clear and relevant but could be more concise or specific.	The title is clear, concise, and accurately reflects the research focus.	
2.	**Research Background**	Background is missing or irrelevant to the field.	Background is vague or lacks depth.	Background provides some context but lacks detail.	Clear background with sufficient context and relevant to the field.	Thorough and well-explained background with strong relevance to the research.	
3.	**Research Objectives**	Objectives are unclear or absent.	Objectives are vaguely presented.	Objectives are stated but lack clarity or focus.	Clear and focused objectives that relate to the research.	Objectives are clearly defined, focused, and highly relevant to the research.	
4.	**Methodology**	Methodology is missing or very unclear.	Methodology is present but lacks clarity or detail.	The methodology is somewhat clear but lacks sufficient detail or appropriateness.	The methodology is clear but could benefit from more details or refinement.	Well-structured, comprehensive, and appropriate methodology for the research.	
5.	**Expected Results**	Expected results are missing or irrelevant.	Expected results are vague or unclear.	The expected results are somewhat clear, but lack precision or depth.	Clear and appropriate expected results for the research.	Expected results are highly relevant, clear, and well-defined.	

6.	Project Plan and Timeline	The project plan or timeline is missing, unclear, or unrealistic.	The project plan or timeline is vague or not feasible.	The project plan and timeline are somewhat clear, but lack sufficient detail or feasibility.	The project plan is clear, but some parts of the timeline may need refinement.	The project plan is detailed, realistic, and well-organized with a clear timeline. timelines and milestones.	
7.	Collaboration with Industry	Collaboration with industry is unclear or missing.	Collaboration with industry is vague or not clearly aligned with the research.	Collaboration with industry is somewhat clear but lacks sufficient detail or specificity.	Collaboration with industry is mentioned but could be more detailed or relevant.	Collaboration with industry is well-articulated, showing clear relevance and benefits to both parties.	
						TOTAL SCORE	

No.	ITEM	1 - Very Poor	2 - Poor	3 - Fair	4 - Good	5 - Very Good	Point
1	**FYP Title**	Title lacks clarity and relevance.	Title somewhat unclear.	Title somewhat relevant.	Clear title.	Clear and relevant title.	
2	**Research Background**	Background is missing or irrelevant to the field.	Background is vague or lacks depth.	Background provides some context but lacks detail.	Clear background with sufficient context and relevant to the field.	Thorough and well-explained background with strong relevance to the research.	
3	**Research Objectives**	Objectives are unclear or absent.	Objectives are vaguely presented.	Objectives are stated but lack clarity or focus.	Clear and focused objectives that relate to the research.	Objectives are clearly defined, focused, and highly relevant to the research.	
4	**Methodology**	Methodology is missing or very unclear.	Methodology is present but lacks clarity or detail.	Basic methodology is outlined, but lacks depth.	Clear methodology with appropriate details.	Well-structured, comprehensive, and appropriate methodology for the research.	
5	**Expected Results**	Expected results are missing or irrelevant.	Expected results are vague or unclear.	Expected results are outlined but lack specifics.	Clear and appropriate expected results for the research.	Expected results are highly relevant, clear, and well-defined.	
6	**Project Gantt Chart**	Gantt chart is missing or completely irrelevant.	Gantt chart is vague or lacks detailed milestones.	Gantt chart is present but lacks clarity in scheduling.	Clear and appropriately detailed Gantt chart.	Well-structured and detailed Gantt chart with clear timelines and milestones.	
						Total	